

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/791,378	03/03/2004	Kyung P. Riihimaki	A8714	3494
23373	7590 09/13/2006		EXAMINER	
	MION, PLLC YLVANIA AVENUE.	DAWSON, GLENN K		
SUITE 800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20037			3731	
•	•		DATE MAIL ED: 00/13/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/791,378	RIIHIMAKI ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Glenn K. Dawson	3731			
Dania d G	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
Period fo	• •					
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANS IN THE MAIL	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	the mailing date of this communication.  D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E					
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Applicati	ion Papers					
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 March 2004</u> is/are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
—	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau  See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
2) Notice 3) Information	t(s)  te of References Cited (PTO-892)  te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  tr No(s)/Mail Date 03-03-2004.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1,4,8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by D'Amico-5429613.

D'Amico discloses a needle attached to a handle of octagonal cross-section (see fig. 1 and 6), star-shape (70 fig. 11) or rectangular shape (fig. 20).

Claims 1 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Pike-5954698.

Pike discloses a needle attached to a handle having a hexagonal cross-sectional shape.

Claims 1,2 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kulik-1551159.

Kulik discloses a needle attached to a handle having a cross-section with a round portion and a chord-see fig. 5.

Claims 1 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lawrence-6346115.

Art Unit: 3731

Lawrence discloses a needle attached to handle of rectangular cross-section. See fig. 5.

Claims 1 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wilkens, et al.-WO 98/23321.

Wilkens discloses a needle attached to a handle of hexagonal cross-section see fig. 4-6.

Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Nissan, et al-2003/0093084.

Nissan discloses a needle attached to a handle of triangular cross section.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of

Art Unit: 3731

the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1,3-9,14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chang-4950279.

Chang discloses an acupuncture needle attached to a handle having surface indentations 24. However, the claimed shapes of the handle are not disclosed. However, it is well known in the art that providing a handle with a polygonal cross-section, as evidenced by all of the previously cited references, provides the user with improved dexterity or frictional surfaces to apply torque, To have provided these additional configurations to he handle of Chang would have been an obvious design choice as merely being an obvious known alternative to the simple pineapple etching of Chang.

The needle is used during acupuncture by piercing the ski and then rotating the needle. When the above combination is made to modify the handle, the claimed method will be achieved when the modified needle is rotated by the user.

Claims 2 and 10-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chang-'279 in view of Mink-5896620.

Art Unit: 3731

Chang discloses the invention as claimed with the exception of the circle/chord cross section. However, Mink discloses such a cross-sectional handle see fig. 9. It would have been obvious to have provided a flat onto the handle of Chang in order to provide the handle with a thumb rest. To have provided a second chord portion would have been a mere obvious duplication of known parts, and would have allowed for a thumb rest convenient to the user regardless in which circumferential orientation the user grips the handle.

#### **Drawings**

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the insertion guide tube must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an

Art Unit: 3731

application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Glenn K. Dawson whose telephone number is 571-272-4694. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 7:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anhtuan T. Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-4963. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3731

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Glenn K Dawson Primary Examiner Art Unit 3731

Gkd 03 September 2006

Art Unit: 3731

Page 8